

Random Walks Arising in Random Matrix Theory

Waterloo Number Theory Seminar

Nikita Lvov

February 10, 2026

Introduction: Groups as Random Objects

Conjecture (Cohen-Lenstra, 1984)

As K ranges through imaginary quadratic fields, ordered by discriminant,

$$\mathbb{P}(Cl_K[p^\infty] \cong G) \propto \frac{1}{|Aut(G)|}$$

Random Abelian Groups from Random Matrices

Theorem (Friedman-Washington, 1989)

Suppose the coefficients of $\mathcal{M}_{N,N}$ are independent Haar distributed random variables in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. As $N \rightarrow \infty$, we get a limiting probability distribution on finite abelian p -groups that satisfies

$$P(G) \propto \frac{1}{|Aut(G)|}$$

Random Abelian Groups from Random Matrices

Theorem (Friedman-Washington, 1989)

Suppose the coefficients of $\mathcal{M}_{N,N}$ are independent Haar distributed random variables in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. As $N \rightarrow \infty$, we get a limiting probability distribution on finite abelian p -groups that satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}(G) \propto \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(G)|}$$

Theorem (Maples, 2013; Wood, 2015)

Suppose the coefficients of $\mathcal{M}_{N,N}$ are non-degenerate identically distributed random variables^a. Then the same conclusion holds.

^aDegenerate: constant modulo p

Example (A Bernoulli random matrix - "White Noise")

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Entries are 0 or 1 with probability $1/2$.

Cokernels of Corners

Example

$$\begin{pmatrix} \begin{array}{cc|c|c|c} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} & m_{15} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} & m_{24} & m_{25} \\ m_{31} & m_{32} & m_{33} & m_{34} & m_{35} \\ m_{41} & m_{42} & m_{43} & m_{44} & m_{45} \\ m_{51} & m_{52} & m_{53} & m_{54} & m_{55} \end{array} & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

$\vdots$

Definition

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{Haar}$ the top left $n \times n$ corner of a large (or infinite) matrix whose entries are independent, Haar random variables.

Hence,

$$coker(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{Haar})$$

is a random process on finite abelian p -groups.

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Markov Chain

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}})$$

is a Markov chain.

Example

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem

$$\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Bernoulli}})$$

is "asymptotically" a Markov chain.

Definition

- X_0 denotes the set of finite abelian p -groups G .

Definitions; Description of Markov Chain

Definition

- X_0 denotes the set of finite abelian p -groups G .
- X_1 denotes the set of abelian p -groups H such that $H \cong H_{tors} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Definitions; Description of Markov Chain

Definition

- X_0 denotes the set of finite abelian p -groups G .
- X_1 denotes the set of abelian p -groups H such that $H \cong H_{tors} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Two Random Operators

- $d : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$:
take quotient by random element
-

Definitions; Description of Markov Chain

Definition

- X_0 denotes the set of finite abelian p -groups G .
- X_1 denotes the set of abelian p -groups H such that $H \cong H_{tors} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Two Random Operators

- $d : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$:
take quotient by random element
- $d^* : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$:
pick random element of $Ext(\cdot, \mathbb{Z}_p)^a$

^aFor G finite, $Ext(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is dual to G .

Connection with Random Matrices

$$d\left(\operatorname{coker}(M_{n,n+1})\right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} M_{n,n+1} \\ \hline * \quad \dots \quad * \end{array} \right]$$

(Quotient by a random element)

Connection with Random Matrices

$$d\left(\operatorname{coker}(M_{n,n+1})\right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} M_{n,n+1} \\ \hline * \quad \dots \quad * \end{array} \right]$$

(Quotient by a random element)

$$d^*\left(\operatorname{coker}(M_{n,n})\right) = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{n,n} & \begin{array}{c} * \\ \vdots \\ * \end{array} \end{array} \right]$$

Connection with Random Matrices

$$d\left(\operatorname{coker}(M_{n,n+1})\right) = \left[\begin{array}{c} M_{n,n+1} \\ \hline * \quad \dots \quad * \end{array} \right]$$

(Quotient by a random element)

$$d^*\left(\operatorname{coker}(M_{n,n})\right) = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{n,n} & \begin{array}{c} * \\ \vdots \\ * \end{array} \end{array} \right]$$

(Random $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension)

Connection with Random Matrices

$$d\left(\operatorname{coker}(M_{n,n+1})\right) = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{n,n+1} & \\ \hline * & \dots & * \end{array} \right]$$

(Quotient by a random element)

$$d^*\left(\operatorname{coker}(M_{n,n})\right) = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{n,n} & \begin{array}{c} * \\ \vdots \\ * \end{array} \end{array} \right]$$

(Random $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension)

$$dd^*\left(\operatorname{coker}(M_{n,n})\right) = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M_{n,n} & \begin{array}{c} * \\ \vdots \\ * \end{array} \\ \hline * & \dots & * & * \end{array} \right]$$

Time-Reversible Markov Chains

Definition

Given a Markov Chain at equilibrium, time-reversal gives another Markov chain:

$$\mathbb{P}^*(A \rightarrow B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B)\mathbb{P}(B \rightarrow A)}{\mathbb{P}(A)}$$

Time-Reversible Markov Chains

Definition

Given a Markov Chain at equilibrium, time-reversal gives another Markov chain:

$$\mathbb{P}^*(A \rightarrow B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B)\mathbb{P}(B \rightarrow A)}{\mathbb{P}(A)}$$

Definition

A Markov chain is *time-reversible* if

$$\mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(A \rightarrow B) = \mathbb{P}(B)\mathbb{P}(B \rightarrow A) \quad (1.1)$$

Time-Reversible Markov Chains

Definition

Given a Markov Chain at equilibrium, time-reversal gives another Markov chain:

$$\mathbb{P}^*(A \rightarrow B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B)\mathbb{P}(B \rightarrow A)}{\mathbb{P}(A)}$$

Definition

A Markov chain is *time-reversible* if

$$\mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(A \rightarrow B) = \mathbb{P}(B)\mathbb{P}(B \rightarrow A) \quad (1.1)$$

Reminder

Any time-reversible Markov chain can be represented as a symmetric random walk on a weighted graph.

(d, d^*) is Time-Reversible

Observation

For any $GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -invariant measure $\mathcal{M}_{n,n+1}$,

$$\text{coker} \left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} & & \mathcal{M}_{n,n+1} & & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \approx \text{coker} \left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} & & \mathcal{M}_{n,n+1} & & \\ \hline * & * & \dots & * & * \end{array} \right]$$

(d, d^*) is Time-Reversible

Observation

For any $GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -invariant measure $\mathcal{M}_{n,n+1}$,

$$\text{coker} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} & & \mathcal{M}_{n,n+1} & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \approx \text{coker} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} & & \mathcal{M}_{n,n+1} & \\ \hline * & * & \dots & * & * \end{array} \right]$$

Corollary

(d^*, d) is a time-reversible Markov chain.

$$\left(\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n}^{\text{Haar}}) \mid \text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n+1}^{\text{Haar}}) = H \right) \approx$$

$$\left(\text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n+1}^{\text{Haar}}) \mid \text{coker}(\mathcal{M}_{n,n+1}^{\text{Haar}}) = H \right)$$

The weighted graph Γ

$$(d^*, d)$$

is a random walk on a bipartite weighted graph Γ with:

- Vertices labeled by G or H .

The weighted graph Γ

$$(d^*, d)$$

is a random walk on a bipartite weighted graph Γ with:

- Vertices labeled by G or H .
- Edges:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

Interpretation as a Random Walk

The weighted graph Γ

$$(d^*, d)$$

is a random walk on a bipartite weighted graph Γ with:

- Vertices labeled by G or H .
- Edges:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

- Weights:

$$\frac{1}{|Aut(\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow H \rightarrow G)| |G|}$$

Interpretation as a Random Walk

The weighted graph Γ

$$(d^*, d)$$

is a random walk on a bipartite weighted graph Γ with:

- Vertices labeled by G or H .
- Edges:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

- Weights:

$$\frac{1}{|Aut(\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow H \rightarrow G)| |G|}$$

We get dd^* by taking **two** random steps on this weighted graph.

The Spectrum of Γ

Remark

The spectrum of Γ can be deduced from the spectrum of dd^ .*

The Spectrum of Γ

Remark

The spectrum of Γ can be deduced from the spectrum of dd^ .*

Theorem

The spectrum of dd^ is the closure of*

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{|G|} \right\}$$

The Spectrum of Γ

Remark

The spectrum of Γ can be deduced from the spectrum of dd^ .*

Theorem

The spectrum of dd^ is the closure of*

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{|G|} \right\}$$

Theorem

There exists an explicit unitary operator $\mathcal{U}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{U}^{-1} dd^* \mathcal{U} = \frac{1}{|G|}$$

The Unitary Operator $\mathcal{U}$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

There exists a unitary operator $\mathcal{U}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{U} \left(\frac{|Sur(F, \cdot)|}{|Aut(\cdot)|} \right) = \sqrt{c_0} \left(\frac{|Sur(\cdot, F)|}{|Aut(\cdot)|} \right)$$

The Unitary Operator $\mathcal{U}$

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

There exists a unitary operator $\mathcal{U}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{U} \left(\frac{|Sur(F, \cdot)|}{|Aut(\cdot)|} \right) = \sqrt{c_0} \left(\frac{|Sur(\cdot, F)|}{|Aut(\cdot)|} \right)$$

Theorem (L. - Van Peski)

$\mathcal{U}$ is surjective.

The Unitary Operator $\mathcal{U}$, cont'd

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$c_0 \sum_G \frac{|Sur(G, F_1)| |Sur(G, F_2)|}{|Aut(G)|} = \sum_G \frac{|Sur(F_1, G)| |Sur(F_2, G)|}{|Aut(G)|} \quad \forall F_1, F_2$$

The Unitary Operator $\mathcal{U}$, cont'd

Theorem (Van Peski, L.)

$$c_0 \sum_G \frac{|Sur(G, F_1)| |Sur(G, F_2)|}{|Aut(G)|} = \sum_G \frac{|Sur(F_1, G)| |Sur(F_2, G)|}{|Aut(G)|} \quad \forall F_1, F_2$$

Example

Substituting $F_2 = 0$ yields the well-known identity:

$$c_0 \sum_G \frac{|Sur(G, F_1)|}{|Aut(G)|} = 1 \quad \forall F_1.$$

An Equivalence Relation on Matrices

Equivalence Relation

$M' \sim M$ if $M' = g_1 M g_2$ for $g_1, g_2 \in GL(R)$

$$M' \sim M \text{ if } M' = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{I}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

An Equivalence Relation on Matrices

Equivalence Relation

$M' \sim M$ if $M' = g_1 M g_2$ for $g_1, g_2 \in GL(R)$

$$M' \sim M \text{ if } M' = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{I}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Observation

Over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, $M' \sim M$ if and only if

$$\text{coker}(M') \cong \text{coker}(M)$$

The same is true over any local ring.

An Equivalence Relation on Matrices

Equivalence Relation

$M' \sim M$ if $M' = g_1 M g_2$ for $g_1, g_2 \in GL(R)$

$$M' \sim M \text{ if } M' = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{I}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Observation

Over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, $M' \sim M$ if and only if

$$\text{coker}(M') \cong \text{coker}(M)$$

The same is true over any local ring.

Observation

The matrices do not need to be square.

Random operators on equivalence classes

Theorem (L.)

Over any pro-finite ring, the distribution of

$$\text{class} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M & \begin{array}{c} * \\ \vdots \\ * \end{array} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{class} \left[\begin{array}{c} M \\ \hline * \quad \dots \quad * \end{array} \right]$$

depends only on class (M).

Random operators on equivalence classes

Theorem (L.)

Over any pro-finite ring, the distribution of

$$\text{class} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} M & \begin{array}{c} * \\ \vdots \\ * \end{array} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{class} \left[\begin{array}{c} M \\ \hline * \quad \dots \quad * \end{array} \right]$$

depends only on class (M).

Remark

The proof uses the invariance properties of the Haar measure.

Random operators on equivalence classes, cont'd

Definition

Let $X_k(R)$ be the set of all equivalence classes of matrices M over R such that

$$\#cols(M) - \#rows(M) = k$$

We have random operators :

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_{-2} \rightarrow X_{-1} \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \cdots$$

$$\cdots \leftarrow X_{-2} \leftarrow X_{-1} \leftarrow X_0 \leftarrow X_1 \leftarrow X_2 \leftarrow \cdots$$

Random operators on equivalence classes, cont'd

Definition

Let $X_k(R)$ be the set of all equivalence classes of matrices M over R such that

$$\#cols(M) - \#rows(M) = k$$

We have random operators :

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_{-2} \rightarrow X_{-1} \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \cdots$$

$$\cdots \leftarrow X_{-2} \leftarrow X_{-1} \leftarrow X_0 \leftarrow X_1 \leftarrow X_2 \leftarrow \cdots$$

Theorem (L.)

If R is a pro-finite local ring, the random operators above satisfy:

- $(\rightarrow)(\leftarrow) = (\leftarrow)(\rightarrow)$
- $(\leftarrow)(\rightarrow)$ *defines a reversible Markov chain.*

Remark

The same statements continue to hold if we replace $GL(R)$ by $SL(R)$.

Remark

The same statements continue to hold if we replace $GL(R)$ by $SL(R)$.

Remark

A surjective ring homomorphism defines a map $X_k(R) \rightarrow X_k(r)$. The pushforward of this map commutes with $(\leftarrow)$ and with $(\rightarrow)$.

Thank you!

Corollary

- *To every $F \in X_0$, we can associate an eigenfunction of dd^* :*

$$E_F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{U}(1_F)$$

Corollary

- *To every $F \in X_0$, we can associate an eigenfunction of dd^* :*

$$E_F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{U}(1_F)$$

- *The eigenvalue of E_F is $|F|^{-1}$.*

Corollary

- *To every $F \in X_0$, we can associate an eigenfunction of dd^* :*

$$E_F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{U}(1_F)$$

- *The eigenvalue of E_F is $|F|^{-1}$.*
- *We can calculate E_F explicitly.*

Corollary

- To every $F \in X_0$, we can associate an eigenfunction of dd^* :

$$E_F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{U}(1_F)$$

- The eigenvalue of E_F is $|F|^{-1}$.
- We can calculate E_F explicitly.
- The E_F are independent and they span a dense subset of

$$\ker(dd^*)^\perp = \overline{\text{im}(dd^*)}.$$

Proof of First Main Theorem

Definition

$$M(F) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu_0(\cdot) |Sur(\cdot, F)| = c_0 \frac{|Sur(\cdot, F)|}{|Aut(\cdot)|}$$

Proof of First Main Theorem

Definition

$$M(F) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu_0(\cdot) |Sur(\cdot, F)| = c_0 \frac{|Sur(\cdot, F)|}{|Aut(\cdot)|}$$

Lemma (Main Lemma)

$$dd^*(M(F)) = \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{Hom(\mathbb{Z}_p, F)} M(coker(\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow F))$$

Proof of First Main Theorem (cont'd)

Corollary

$$dd^*\mathcal{U}(1_F) = \frac{1}{|F|}\mathcal{U}(1_F)$$

Proof of First Main Theorem (cont'd)

Corollary

$$dd^*\mathcal{U}(1_F) = \frac{1}{|F|}\mathcal{U}(1_F)$$

Proof.

$$dd^*M(F) = \frac{1}{|F|}M(F) + \textit{lower order terms ...}$$

with respect to the partial ordering, where $F' \leq F$ iff F' is a quotient of F .

Proof of First Main Theorem (cont'd)

Corollary

$$dd^*\mathcal{U}(1_F) = \frac{1}{|F|}\mathcal{U}(1_F)$$

Proof.

$$dd^*M(F) = \frac{1}{|F|}M(F) + \textit{lower order terms ...}$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$dd^*\mathcal{U}(1_F) = \frac{1}{|F|}\mathcal{U}(1_F) + \textit{lower order terms ...}$$

Proof of First Main Theorem (cont'd)

Corollary

$$dd^*\mathcal{U}(1_F) = \frac{1}{|F|}\mathcal{U}(1_F)$$

Proof.

$$dd^*M(F) = \frac{1}{|F|}M(F) + \textit{lower order terms} \dots$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$dd^*\mathcal{U}(1_F) = \frac{1}{|F|}\mathcal{U}(1_F) + \textit{lower order terms} \dots$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$dd^*\mathcal{U}(1_F) = \frac{1}{|F|}\mathcal{U}(1_F)$$

Proof of Second Main Theorem

Theorem

The orthogonal complement of the E_F in $L^2(X_0, \mu_0)$ is $\ker(dd^) \cap L^2(X_0, \mu_0)$.*

Proof of Second Main Theorem

Theorem

The orthogonal complement of the E_F in $L^2(X_0, \mu_0)$ is $\ker(dd^) \cap L^2(X_0, \mu_0)$.*

Proof.

We compute the asymptotics of $(dd^*)^N(\nu)$ where ν is finitely supported. □

Proof of Second Main Theorem

Theorem

The orthogonal complement of the E_F in $L^2(X_0, \mu_0)$ is $\ker(dd^) \cap L^2(X_0, \mu_0)$.*

Proof.

We compute the asymptotics of $(dd^*)^N(\nu)$ where ν is finitely supported. □

Lemma

The dominant term of $(dd^)^N(\nu)$ is a linear combination of the $M(F)$'s.*

"Application"

For all G ,

$$\frac{|Aut(G \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})|}{|Aut(G)|} =$$

"Application"

For all G ,

$$\frac{|Aut(\mathbf{G} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})|}{|Aut(G)|} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \left(|Hom(\mathbf{G} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})| - |Hom(\mathbf{G} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})| \right)$$

Thank you!